United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America	
v.) Case No. 2:16-CR-6-1BO
SHARAY LAVON WILLIAN	
Defendant)
D	ETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention he require that the defendant be detained per	aring under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts ending trial.
	Part I—Findings of Fact
\square (1) The defendant is charged with an	n offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \Box a federal offense \Box	a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - th	at is
 a crime of violence as de for which the prison term 	fined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) a is 10 years or more.
☐ an offense for which the	maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a m	aximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
	the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a c	rime of violence but involves:
☐ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use	e of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to register u	nder 18 U.S.C. § 2250
\Box (2) The offense described in find federal, state release or local	ing (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a offense.
☐ (3) A period of less than five year	rs has elapsed since the
from prison for the offense de	escribed in finding (1).
	establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety nmunity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternative Findings (A)
\checkmark (1) There is probable cause to be	elieve that the defendant has committed an offense
for which a maximum pr	ison term of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 USC 801 et seq.
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.		
	Alteri	native Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	rill not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	vill endanger the safety of another person or the community.
I		nt of the Reasons for Detention nitted at the detention hearing establishes by □ clear and
convincin	g evidence \Box a preponderance of the evidence on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a	-
	the reasons indicated below there is no condition are the defendant's appearance and/or safety of a The nature of the charges The apparent strength of the government's case The indication of substance abuse The defendant's criminal history Other:	The lack of stable employment
	Part III—Dire	ections Regarding Detention
in a corresponding a order of U	ctions facility separate, to the extent practical ppeal. The defendant must be afforded a real process.	the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement able, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody asonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On by for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility all for a court appearance.
Date:	05/18/2016	Kimbulg a Swand
		Judge's Signature
		KIMBERLY A. SWANK, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
		Name and Title